## Sanitized - Approved For Relea:

## Cloudy Intelligence

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

## HON. CLARK MacGREGOR

OF MINNESOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, April 30, 1963

Mr. MacGREGOR, Mr. Speaker, a great many Members of Congress, of the press, and a large segment of the general public have often questioned the discrepencies between various figures and certain Members of Congress in regard to the Cuban situation. The most recent example of these differences is whether the H Islans are actually withdrawing troops from Cuba or are merely rotating thers, bringing in new men as they take out ome already there. Perhaps the folk wing editorial from the April 29 New York Times gives at least part of the answer:

From the Natl York Times, Apr. 29, 1963]

CARL DY INTELLIGENCE

Are U.S /hi ciligence estimates being

colored or (2.10 " ed by policy?

The difference between President Kennedy and for a Kearing about how many soviet utilis in Cuba could reflect a serious weakness to the claborate apparatus the National life on which policy must be built.

The cuben is not new to this administrational life it originate with the Cuban could be when intelligence becomes, as it is also become today, the very cornerstone of the years into a superhuman objectivity is the part of our intelligence

become today, the very cornerstone of ty, and inost superhuman objectivity is tred the part of our intelligence estimates and evaluations with key. Intelligence, if it is to be write for a ling, must be completely non-

A Serate discommittee, which has been unitive in rest gating the Cuban crisis, has been surely for a sonsiderable evidence that the state of last summer and the rest one to the "it can't happen here" to the "it can't happen here" to the "it can't happen here." It is prevalent in Washington.



perta that Premier Khrushchev would never risk installing Russian missiles in Cuba appears to have influenced most of the intelligence judgments that reached the President in that period. The awakening led to a concentration on missiles only, that caused us to downgrade the significance of the small Soviet force that had been sent to Cuba.

Today, the basic differences between the President and his critics concern the size of this force, and whether it is being reduced. Both Mr. Kennedy and Senator Keating are getting their information from the same source—Government intelligence agencies. But, because Cuba has become a partisan issue, it is not easy for the top level estimates that reach the President to remain completely objective. The men who provide these judgments are appointees of the President's own administration. Given the present centralization of intelligence activities, it is especially hard for minority views, which might happen to be right, to reach the top.

This problem will not be helped by the appointment of Clark M Clifford to replace Dr. James R. Killian, clustram of the board of directors of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, as chairman of the Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board. The board was established as a result of a recommendation of the Hoover Commission in 1956 to monitor continuously CIA and other Intelligence activities.

Mr. Clifford has a brilliant mind, but, as a long-time troubleshooter for the Democratic Party, he is inextricably associated with partisan politics. He replaces a skilled and objective scientist-administrator. The selection is at best unfortunate. It is bound to give the impression that our intelligence activities will now be monitored—not by a chairman who is an expert in the field—but by one who is essentially a politician.